UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -53)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 964 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Sep 12, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio By: /s/Robert Pitts

By: /s/Robert Pit Deputy Clerk

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-53 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
ARIZONA			
AZ	3	18-08191	Kingman, City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	8	18-02036	City of Palmetto v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
GEORGIA NORTHERN			
GAN	1	18-03899	Henry County, Georgia v. Purdue Pharma, LP et abpposed 9/11/18
IDAHO			
ID	1	18-00360	Adams County et al v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	18-05756	City of Harvey et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 9/11/18
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	1	18-02576	CITY OF WESTFIELD, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
KENTUCKY WESTERN			
KYW	3	18-00558	Baptist Healthcare System, Inc. et al v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	18-07974	Madisonville Town v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
MARYLAND			
MD	1	18-02592	Commissioners of Washington County

MISSOURI EASTERN

MOE 4 18–01376 St. Charles County, Missouri v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et

NEW MEXICO

NM 1 18-00795 Roosevelt County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 9/11/18

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 1 18-01242 The City of Princeton, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation